assessing of the situation, you've got to ask yourself, is the risk of getting something which is one of the less desirable of those alternatives, is the risk of your own misjudgment so great that it would be better as a matter of caution to give up the quest, or do you have sufficient confidence in the ability of your own success in judging what is good for the people and the temper of the people in their willingness to accept such good that you think it's worth risking the effort.

That is as far as I can go without sweating out the actual language.

MR. GENTRY: If you could reach this alternative b that you mentioned, would it be good to have?

MR. SYKES: I don't know, until you try. You've got to weigh -- you say if, and that assumes the point, of course, it would be good to have. If you could reach an agreement that you would be sure would not hang up the Constitution and would express a really general consensus and wouldn't be so innocucus because it is a general consensus, it would be just the right amount ahead, and if you could all agree on what is ahead and what is